Artificial intelligence in cardiovascular diseases: diagnostic and therapeutic perspectives

#### Introduction

- What is Artificial Intelligence (AI)?
- What can AI offer in clinical settings?
- Different types of Machine Learning
- Most common types of Machine learning algorithms for medical purposes

# Flowchart of Al in clinical settings



#### Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve and area under the curve (AUC)





## Types and situations of using AI in cardiovascular medicine



Fig. 2 Situation of AI application in CVD

#### Al-aided CVD diagnosis

difficulties in screening and early diagnosis of CVDs

unlocking ECG's potential with AI !

#### Diagnosis of Valvular heart diseases

- Iong asymptomatic periods
- Increased mortality as symptoms appear
- Good outcomes with intervention started before symptom presentation

#### AI-ECG

- ▶ DL-based algorithm to detect moderate or severe aortic stenosis (AS) using ECGs  $\rightarrow$  AUC= 0.86 0.90 using sex and age
- focused on the T wave of the precordial lead
- negative predictive value was > 99%
- Valve Net DL model for moderate or severe AS, aortic regurgitation (AR), and mitral regurgitation (MR)

## Diagnosis of Atrial fibrillation

- asymptomatic and elusive; especially paroxysmal AF
- underdiagnosis
- subtle structural changes
- ► A CNN to identify patients with AF during normal sinus rhythm using 500,000 ECGs  $\rightarrow$  AUC = 0.87; accuracy =79%

When using all ECGs from 31 days before AF rhythm  $\rightarrow$  AUC = 0.90; accuracy =88 %

- Improving the performance of CHARGE-AF (Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology-Atrial Fibrillation)
- Higher stroke risk calculated with AI-ECG of NSR corresponded to a significantly higher rate of AF diagnosis

#### Diagnosis of Coronary artery disease

- AUC of 0.80 per patient and 0.76 per vessel for detecting CAD on angiography using SPECT MPI taken within 6 months
- AUC of 0.73 and the accuracy of 68% for detecting CAD from facial features

#### Diagnosis of Heart failure

- Echocardiogram is costly and unavailable for screening
- LVHF can be asymptomatic
- Early diagnose of LVHF can lead to better outcomes
- ▶ Large neural networks using ECG for HF screening  $\rightarrow$  AUC =0.93, with an accuracy of 86 %

positive AI-ECG but negative echocardiography  $\rightarrow$  HR = 4

- Giving primary teams access to AI-ECG increases HF diagnosis by 32 %
- Right ventricular dysfunction is closely related to the left and total heart failure
- > A DL model with an AUC of 0.84 for RV HF detection and AUC of 0.94 for LV HF detection

## Diagnosing Cardiomyopathy

- Familial risk of DCM and sudden death
- Routine echocardiographic screening is impractical
- a CNN model to achieve the early diagnosis of DCM using ECG → AUC to detect LVEF≤45% = 0.955, with a negative predictive value of more than 99%
- CMR: the gold standard for LVH diagnosis but impractical for screening
- ▶ A CNN model using 32,239 ECGs  $\rightarrow$  AUC of 0.653 and 0.621 in 2 independent tests
- Sudden cardiac death of HCM is preventable!
- > Diagnosis of HCM : echocardiography; mostly indistinguishable abnormalities
- Using AI-ECG for HCM screening :AUC =0.96 with the sensitivity = 87% and specificity o=90%. Surprisingly, this model performed particularly well in young individuals → high potential for screening
- DL models for SPECT, CTS, Ultrasound, even facial features!

#### Diagnosing Congenital heart disease

most common congenital disability; significant mortality

- Lack of specialized sonographers or missing critical image frames
- A CNN using nearly 100,000 images from echocardiographic and screening ultrasound from 18 to 24 weeks AUC of 0.99, a negative predictive value of 100%!

Robust performance on outside-hospital and lower-quality images

### Al enhancing the effectiveness of auxiliary tools

- LVEF detection using AI from echocardiograms; similar accuracy as clinicians; point of care monitoring
- CNN for detecting abnormal wall motion in patients with MI
- AI: AUC=0.99
- Cardiologists and Sonographers: AUC=0.98
- Residents : AUC = 0.90

#### Al enhancing the effectiveness of auxiliary tools

- CCTA: effective and non-invasive; but costly and time-consuming; requires semi-automated manual evaluation
- DL models with accuracies similar to expert consensus
- Myocardial blood flow (MBF) and myocardial perfusion reserve (MPR) assessed with a DL model : independent predictor of cardiac prognosis
- ► invasive fractional flow reserve (FFR) < 0.80 → lower accuracy of angiography for CAD diagnosis
- > ML models using angiography images  $\rightarrow$  AUC = 0.84

#### Al-aided CVD stratification and typing

- cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) patient selection
- Unsupervised ML model: 4 patient categories; two of which responded substantially better to ICD
- Clustering algorithm able to detect positive beta blocker response both in AF and sinus rhythm
- AF clinical data clustering for SCD risk stratification and prognosis
- Aortic pressure damping during angiography classification with an accuracy of 99.4%

#### Al-aided CVD stratification and typing

- Phonotypical and prognostic heterogeneity of HTN
- Clustering of hypertensive patients of SPRINT trial and intensive BP control
- Distinguishing PHT from EHT using Momics and ML
- Predicting HCM genotype using CMR

#### Al-aided CVD outcome prediction

- All-cause mortality calculation using large ECG datasets
- ECG-derived age and chronological age mismatch
- Automatic retinal vessel caliber calculation
- Detecting most important prognostic features
- Predicting stent underexpansion using IVUS
- Predicting clinical outcomes using PT-INR time series
- Predicting hospital re-admission after surgical aortic valve replacement

#### Limitations

- Black box feature selection
- Concentrated and scarce research centers and datasets
- cost-effectiveness and impact on clinical practice